

What will the patient, public and community reviewers be reviewing in the 2022 HP-I proposals?

Lay summary: readability and understandability

The lay summary should use plain language that is free of jargon, technical or undefined scientific terms and be presented in a manner that can be easily understood by someone with no prior knowledge of the topic area. The lay summary should explain why the research is being done, who will be participating in the research, how the research will be conducted, how long it will take, what the research is intended to achieve, and the potential impacts on health or the health system in BC.

Importance, relevance and feasibility of the proposed research

Patient, public and community reviewers will consider how the research proposal addresses the priorities or needs identified by those with lived experience of, or those impacted by, the topic of the research. Reviewers will consider how the research questions and outcomes are relevant to people living with or impacted by the topic of the research. They will also consider whether the research methods, interventions, or other research activities that are outlined in the research proposal would be considered reasonable by patients, or members of the public or community or whether they impose undue burden on research participants (if applicable).

Patient, public or community partner engagement plan / activities

Patient, public and community reviewers will read the full application and consider where, when and how the proposal plans to meaningfully engage with patients, members of the public, or community partners throughout the duration of the award. They will comment on the strengths as well as any potential gaps or challenges of the proposed partner engagement activities.

Overall: other consideration and opportunities for further partner engagement

Patient, public and community reviewers will provide an overall impression of the proposed research outlined by the applicant. This may include additional comments or considerations from a non-academic perspective for the applicant to consider. Reviewers will also provide recommendations for how the applicant may develop or enhance their patient, public or community partner engagement plans into their research activities throughout the duration of the award.

Patient, public and community reviews

Lay summary: readability, understandability

Proposed research: importance, relevance, feasibility



Partner engagement plans/activities: when, where and how, strengths and challenges



Findings and comments



Recommendations

Overall: other considerations, opportunities for further engagement